IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2829 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8093 OF 2007

Shivaji Ganpati Patil and ors.... Petitioner

versus

Vithal Pandurang Kamble and ors..... Respondent.

Shri P.D.Dalvi for the petitioner/applicant
Mr. Patil i/b S.S.Patwardhan for the respondent
Mr. R.M.Patne AGP for respondents 9 to 12.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 2ND NOVEMBER, 2007

P.C.;

1. By an order dated 30th October 2007, I have granted stay of the impugned order passed by the Respondent no.2 dated 16-10-2007 in Revision No.21/07.

2. By the present application, the original respondent is seeking clarification to the effect that the stay order granted by this court does not postulate stay of the proceedings in Revision Application. The greivance of the applicant/original respondent is that the Revisional Authority is declining to hear the revision on account of the interim order passed by this court in the Writ Petition and thus, clarification is being sought. The learned counsel for the Writ Petitioner has no

objection for hearing of the revision Application No.21/07 during pendency of the Writ Petition. In this view of the matter, I clarify that the Joint Registrar, Co-operative Societies (Dairy) at Worli, Mumbai shall proceed to hear and dispose of the Revision Application No.21/07 as expeditiously as possible. Both the learned advocates undertake to appear before the Revisional Authority on 5-11-2007. The learned AGP submits that it would be feasible for the Revisional Authority to decide the revision within a period of two weeks from today. Hence ordered accordingly.

3. Needless to further clarify that the election programme continues to be stayed by virtue of the order dated 30-10-2007.

.....